



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/939,895	08	8/27/2001	David Paul Agnello	BU9-98-183-US2	BU9-98-183-US2 4105	
31780	7590	06/04/2003				
ERIC ROB	INSON		EXAMINER			
PMB 955 21010 SOU			VU, HUNG K			
РОТОМАС	FALLS, V.	A 20165		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2811		
				DATE MAILED: 06/04/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				_ an				
· ·		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	Office Action Summer	09/939,895	AGNELLO ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	TI MAIL IN C. D. T. T	Hung K. Vu	2811					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 .	lanuary 2003 .						
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
· <u> </u>	on of Claims							
•	4) Claim(s) 27-31 and 33-44 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>28-31 and 35-38</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
·	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	6) Claim(s) <u>27,33,34 and 39-44</u> is/are rejected.							
·	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the established detailed Office action for a list of the partified copies not received.								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Asknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119(e) (to a provisional application)								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.								
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachmen								
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Inf	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ormal Patent Application (PTO-152					

Art Unit: 2811

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicants' communication filed 01/10/03 has been carefully considered by the examiner. The arguments advanced therein are persuasive with respect to the rejections of record, and some of those rejections are accordingly withdrawn. In view of a further search and reconsideration, however, a new rejection is set forth further below. This action is not made final.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 27, 33-34 and 39-44 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Raaijmakers (PN 4,908,331, of record).

Raaijmakers discloses, as shown in Figure 2 and Col. 3, line 47 – Col. 4, line 50, a structure comprising a layer of cobalt disilicide (10) and a layer of silicon (1,3), wherein the layer of cobalt disilicide is on the layer of silicon, wherein the layer of cobalt disilicide is substantially free of cobalt monosilicide. Absent of evidence to the contrary it is held that there is no oxide of titanium on the layer of cobalt disilicide. Therefore, there won't be any stringer of an oxide of titanium on the layer of cobalt disilicide.

The terms "the layer of cobalt disilicide is in contact with a reagent comprising water, ammonium hydroxide, and hydrogen peroxide", "not adapted to chemically react", "4 percent of

Art Unit: 2811

a total reagent volume of the reagent" and temperature with a range of about 45 degrees Celsius to about 95 degrees Celsius" are method recitations in a device claimed. Note that only the final product is relevant, not the method of making. A product by process claim is directed to the product per se, no matter how actually made. See also MPEP 2113. Moreover, an old or obvious product produced by a new method is not a patentable product, whether claimed in "product by process" claims or not. Also note that at the final structure, as shown in Figures 10 and 18 of the present invention, there is no reagent in contact with the cobalt disilicide. Therefore the limitation of reagent is really recited in the intermediate step of forming the cobalt disilicide.

With regard to claim 32, Raaijmakers discloses, as shown in Figure 2 and Col. 3, line 47 – Col. 4, line 50, a structure having a substrate (11), wherein the substrate includes:

an insulated-gate field effect transistor (FET), wherein the FET includes a source (3), a drain (4), and a gate (6);

a first layer of cobalt disilicide (10) on the source, the first layer having substantially no cobalt monosilicide;

a second layer of cobalt disilicide (10) on the drain, the second layer having substantially no cobalt monosilicide;

a third layer of cobalt disilicide (10) on the gate, the third layer having substantially no cobalt monosilicide. Absent of evidence to the contrary it is held that there is no oxide of titanium on the layer of cobalt disilicide. Therefore, there won't be any stringer of an oxide of titanium on the first, second and third layers of cobalt disilicide.

Application/Control Number: 09/939,895

Art Unit: 2811

The terms "the first layer of cobalt disilicide, the second layer of cobalt disilicide, and the third layer of cobalt disilicide are each in contact with a reagent comprising water, ammonium hydroxide, and hydrogen peroxide", "not adapted to chemically react", "4 percent of a total reagent volume of the reagent" and temperature with a range of about 45 degrees Celsius to about 95 degrees Celsius" are method recitations in a device claimed. Note that only the final product is relevant, not the method of making. A product by process claim is directed to the product per se, no matter how actually made. See also MPEP 2113. Moreover, an old or obvious product produced by a new method is not a patentable product, whether claimed in "product by process" claims or not. Also note that at the final structure, as shown in Figures 10 and 18 of the present invention, there is no reagent in contact with the cobalt disilicide. Therefore the limitation of reagent is really recited in the intermediate step of forming the cobalt disilicide.

Page 4

With regard to claim 33, Raaijmakers discloses the structure further comprising,

a first insulating structure (8) bordering a side of the source (3) and bordering a side of the first layer of cobalt disilicide (10); and

a second insulating structure (8) bordering a side of the drain (4) and bordering a side of the second layer of cobalt disilicide (10).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 01/10/03 have been fully considered but they are not 3. persuasive.

Application/Control Number: 09/939,895

Art Unit: 2811

not.

It is argued, at pages 5-9 of the Remarks, that the reagent functions as a structural limitation and

Page 5

not as a process limitation because the reagent is claimed only as being in contact with the final structural form of the layer of cobalt disilicide. This argument is not convincing because at the final structure, as shown in Figures 10 and 18 of the present invention, there is no reagent in contact with the cobalt disilicide. Therefore the limitation of reagent is really recited in the intermediate step of forming the cobalt disilicide. As the result, the term "the layer of cobalt disilicide is in contact with a reagent comprising water, ammonium hydroxide, and hydrogen peroxide" is method recitation in a device claimed. Note that only the final product is relevant, not the method of making. A product by process claim is directed to the product per se, no matter how actually made. See also MPEP 2113. Moreover, an old or obvious product produced

Conclusion

by a new method is not a patentable product, whether claimed in "product by process" claims or

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hung K. Vu whose telephone number is (703) 308-4079. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 7:00-4:30, alternate Friday 7:00-3:30, Eastern Time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Thomas can be reached on (703) 308-2772. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 2811

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Vu

May 20, 2003

Hunglin